#### Module 13

# Diet, Feeding and Animal Welfare



This lecture was first developed for World Animal Protection by Dr David Main (University of Bristol) in 2003. It was revised by World Animal Protection scientific advisors in 2012 using updates provided by Dr Caroline Hewson.

#### Free online resources

To get free updates and additional materials, please go to <a href="https://www.animalmosaic.org/education/tertiary-education/">www.animalmosaic.org/education/tertiary-education/</a>

### This module will teach you

How different feeding regimens may affect animal welfare

How food relates to different aspects of animal welfare that you may encounter in veterinary practice

How to maximise welfare when feeding animals

## Hunger and feeding 1

#### Hunger

- Appetite centre
- Variability in availability of food in the wild

Anticipation of finding food is pleasurable (Kyriazakis & Tolkamp, 2011)

## Normal pattern of behaviour = bouts of feeding (Kyriazakis & Tolkamp, 2011)

- Amount ingested per feeding bout may vary with genetics and environment
- Feeding patterns are independent of quality of food or genetic traits

## Hunger and feeding 2

#### Feeding behaviour

- Appetitive
- Consummatory

## Traditional focus on consummatory component because this satisfies hunger?

If appetitive component is not satisfied this can cause frustration ⇒ behavioural and physical abnormalities, e.g. stereotypies, gastric ulceration



## Hunger and feeding 3

#### **Human factors (Kasanen et al., 2010)**

Number of animals to be fed

Time available

#### Costs and benefits

 Labour, feed, financial and non-financial value of the animals, owner's income and profit margins



## Feeding regimens 1 (Kasanen et al., 2010; Kyriazakis & Tolkamp, 2011)

#### Ad libitum food delivery

Can result in excess adipose tissue (obesity)

Depending on, e.g., energy density, palatability, genetics, environmental complexity and opportunity to perform other behaviours

Can lead to obesity ⇒ diabetes mellitus, musculoskeletal disorders, reduced longevity

Dietary restriction to limit calorie intake

Quantitative vs. qualitative

## Feeding regimens 2 (Kasanen et al., 2010; Kyriazakis & Tolkamp, 2011)

## Quantitative restriction of high-quality food

- Restrict amount fed: sows and boars, fed ~75 per cent; broiler breeders, ~35 per cent; rodents, 60-80 per cent of **ad libitum** intake
- Restrict time during which food is available
- Make access to food more difficult

Quantitative restriction promotes physical functioning but can adversely affect feelings/mental state and performance of important behaviours

- Feelings of hunger
- Inability to learn broiler breeders (Buckley et al., 2011)
- Inability to perform social behaviour
- Feelings of frustration

### Breeding stock of pigs and broilers

#### **Broiler hens**

Egg limits space in abdomen

Full gut ⇒ vent prolapse and

vent-pecking

#### Sows

Obesity – reduced fertility, joint pain, increased risk of crushing piglets

#### Fed every other day or reduced amount

Stereotypies as food arrives and after eating

## Feeding regimens 3 (Kyriazakis & Tolkamp, 2011)

#### **Qualitative restriction**

- Ad libitum access to low-quality food
- Effective in ruminants fed more forage than concentrates minimises behavioural signs of distress
- Other species? For example, high-fibre diets for dogs and cats

## Feeding regimens 4 (Kyriazakis & Tolkamp, 2011)

Is qualitative restriction more humane than quantitative?

Both result in prolonged oral activity – stereotypic vs. ingestive, i.e. animal feeling hungry in both cases

Alternatively: internal mechanisms allow animals to adapt feed intake to quantity and quality of available food

- Natural for animals to eat more of low-quality food, to meet needs. Ad libitum access allows them to regulate intake according to internal cues
- Natural to eat more of high-quality food? Frustrating not to have enough of it?

Quantitative restriction creates anticipation which is pleasurable – positive welfare

## Other feeding regimens 1

#### Forced moulting in laying hens

Quantitative restriction at end of laying season

## High-producing dairy cows (Webster, 2010)

- Genetic selection for highproduction inherent welfare problem
- Holstein (~18,000 litres per lactation;50 l/day)
- On grass ⇒ can only produce 25 l/day

- Needs extra, energy-dense feed
- Keep inside to ensure animal eats enough
- Hunger vs. full gut vs. need to lie down⇒ cannot consume enough to meetenergy needs

## Other feeding regimens 2

#### **Under-feeding**

#### **Ignorance**

- Lack of veterinary services (Minnaar & Krecek, 2001)
- Working equids: thinner animals are more likely to have other welfare problems, eg lameness (Burn et al., 2010)

**Animal hoarding (Patronek, 1999)** 

Wilful cruelty (Christie et al., 2005; Whiting et al., 2005; Benetato et al., 2011)

### Other causes of hunger

**Parasites** 

**Maldigestion** 

**Malabsorption** 

**Malutilisation** 

#### Water and animal welfare

#### Insufficient water

**Broilers** 

**Pigs** 

Veal calves (Gottardo et al., 2002; Bähler et al., 2010)

Water reduces stereotypic oral behaviours and fundic ulcers

#### Working equids

40–50 per cent of 4,903 animals were dehydrated on skin pinch test (Pritchard et al., 2005)



### Other causes of thirst

#### Disease / loss of body water

**Stomatitis** 

Metabolic disease

GI disease

Sweating / hot weather

**Pathological thirst** 



### Food and physical comfort

#### **Body temperature**

#### **Cushioning body surface**

#### **Ease of movement**

Obesity

Broiler chickens

Osteoporosis in laying hens



## Food and pain, injury and disease (1)

#### Nutritional deficiency diseases, eg

#### Fractures caused by

- Rickets
- (Osteoporosis in laying hens)

#### Arterial thromboembolism in taurine-deficient cats

#### Mineral deficiencies, eg

- Low magnesium in new grass ⇒ tetany
- Iron-deficiency anaemia in veal calves

#### Overfeeding companion animals

#### **Eg Cats:**

- 8 16 feedings per day is naturalbut pet food is very palatable.
- Owners may not understand what is normal bodyweight and thus may overfeed (AAFP 2005; Cave et al., in press, 2012)
- Endocrinology and role of carbohydrates(Kil & Swanson 2010)



Credit: Colin Seddon

#### Over-feeding farmed animals, eg

Ducks, geese (EU, 1998) Broilers, pigs

## Food and pain, injury and disease (3)

**Over-feeding** 

**Fatty liver** 

**Laminitis** 

**Ruminal acidosis** 

**Under-feeding** 

**Neonates** 



## Food and pain, injury and disease 4



#### **Gastric ulceration**

#### Veal calves

(Mattiello et al., 2002; Bähler et al., 2010)

#### Horses

(Waters et al., 2002; Wickens & Heleski, 2010)



### Food, fear and distress

#### **Predation**

Some captive predators may be unable to express full range of feeding behaviour adequately ⇒ always distressed (Clubb & Mason, 2002)

#### **Competition at feeding**

Trough space

Quantitative dietary restriction ⇒
aggression

## Food and behaviours that are important to the animal

#### **Early weaning**

Dairy calves: non-nutritive sucking (von Keyserlingk et al., 2009)

Piglets: tail-biting – a combination of predisposing factors, including

- A lack of manipulable material (Moinard et al., 2003)
- Nutritional deficiency (Beattie et al., 2005)?

## **Summary so far**

How different feeding regimens may affect animal welfare

**Next: How to maximise welfare when feeding animals** 

## Food and environmental enrichment (EE) (Young, 2003)

#### What is EE?

How does the species feed in the wild?

**Carnivores** (eat to relieve hunger)

Herbivores (eat to prevent hunger)

- Browsers, eg goats
- Grazers, eg cattle

Others, eg omnivores, insectivores, etc.



Credit: Helen Proctor

## **Designing nutritional EE (1)** (Young, 2003)

#### Carnivores and other animal-eaters

- Duration, distance, frequency of hunt
- Number of dimensions that the prey moves in
- In a group or solitary
- Special physical adaptations for hunting
- Senses used to find prey
- How the prey is captured and killed
- Are all the above different for different prey?

## Designing nutritional EE (2) (Young, 2003)

#### Herbivores and other non-meat eaters

- Distance between areas eaten during grazing/browsing
- Duration/frequency of foraging bouts
- Number of dimensions vegetation is found in
- In group or solitary
- Special physical adaptations
- Senses used to find food: sight? Smell?
- How food is taken up: tongue? Beak? Teeth? Feet?
- How food is digested, eg rumination vs. grinding in gizzard

## **Examples of nutritional EE** (Young, 2003)

**Puzzle-feeders** 

**Feeding poles** 

**Hiding food** 

Fresh food

**Movement** 

Nipple-feeders

Variety, eg horses (Goodwin et al., 2002)



Credit: Helen Proctor

### **Summary**

## How we feed animals can be a source of pleasure or negative feelings

- Ad libitum feeding assuages hunger
   but risks obesity and frustration if
   appetitive component is not satisfied
- Dietary restriction prevents obesitybut may not adequately satisfy hunger
- The content and the delivery of the diet can also promote or reduce welfare in terms of physical comfort, disease, negative emotions and performance of important behaviours
- Veterinarians can help owners address behavioural aspects of animals' diets, as well as disease-related aspects

## Feedback: Please let us know what you think

- How have you used this module?
- What did you like about it?
- What did you not like?
- Do you have any tips to share?

Please take part in our 10 minute survey here:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/BKP3D6H

Your feedback will help other teachers like you

#### References

Bähler, C., Regula, G., Stoffel, M. H., Steiner, A., von Rotz, A. (2010). Effects of the two production programs 'Naturafarm' and 'conventional' on the prevalence of non-perforating abomasal lesions in *Journal*, 46, 250-252. Swiss veal calves at slaughter. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 88, 352-360.

Beattie, V. E., Breuer, K., O'Connell, N. E., Sneddon, I. A., Mercer, J. T., Rance, K. A., Sutcliffe, M. E. M., & Edwards, S. A. (2005). Factors identifying pigs predisposed to tail-biting. *Journal of Animal Science*, *80*, 307-312.

Benetato, M. A., Reisman, R., & McCobb, E. (2011). The veterinarian's role in animal cruelty cases. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 238, 31-33.

Buckley, L. A., McMillan, L. M., Sandilands, V., Tolkamp, B. J., Hocking, P. M., D'Eath, R. B (2011). Too hungry to learn? Hungry broiler breeders fail to learn a Y-maze food quantity discrimination task *Animal Welfare, 20*, 469-481.

Burn, C., Dennison, T. L., & Whay, H. R. (2010). Environmental and demographic risk factors for poor welfare in working horses, donkeys and mules in developing countries. *The Veterinary Journal*, 186, 385-392.

Christie, J. L., Hewson, C. J., Riley, C. B., McNiven, M. A., Dohoo,

I. R., & Bate, L. A. (2005). A preliminary equine abuse policy with potential application to veterinary practice. *Canadian Veterinary a Journal*, 46, 250-252.

Clubb, R., & Mason, G. (2002). Captivity effects on wide-ranging carnivores. *Nature*, 425, 473-474.

European Union (EU) (1998). Aspects of the production of foie gras in ducks and geese. Report of the Scientific Committee on Animal Health and Animal Welfare. Brussels: European Union.

Goodwin, D., Davidson, H. P. B., & Harris, P. (2002). Foraging enrichment for stabled horses: effects on behaviour and selection. *Equine Veterinary Journal*, *34*, 686-691.

Gottardo, F., Mattiello, S., Cozzi, G., Canali, E., Scanziani, E., Ravarotto, L., Ferrante, V., Verga, M., Andrighetto, I., (2002). The provision of drinking water to veal calves for welfare purposes. *Journal of Animal Science*, *80*, 2362-2372.

Kasanen, I. H. E., Sørenson, D. B., Forkman, B., & Sandøe, P. (2010). Ethics of feeding: the omnivore dilemma. *Animal Welfare*, 19, 37-44.

Kyriazakis, I., & Tolkamp, B. (2011). Hunger and thirst. In M. C. Appleby, J. A. Mench, I. A. S. Olsson, & B. O. Hughes (Eds.), *Animal welfare* (pp. 44-63). Wallingford, UK: CABI.

#### References

Mattiello, S., Canali, E., Ferrante, V., Caniatti, M., Gottardo, F., Cozzi, G., Andrighetto, I., Verba, M. (2002). The provision of solid feeds to veal calves: II. Behaviour, physiology, and abomasal damage. *Journal of Animal Science*, *80*, 367-375.

Minnaar, W. N., & Krecek, R. C. (2001). Veterinary needs of dogs in two resource-limited communities in the Gauteng and North West provinces of South Africa. *Journal of the South African Veterinary Medical Association*, 72, 209-213.

Moinard, C., Mendl, M., Nicol, C. J., & Green, L. E. (2003). A case control study of on-farm risk factors for tail-biting in pigs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, *81*, 333-355.

Patronek, G. J. (1999). Hoarding of animals: an under-recognised public health problem in a difficult-to-study population. *Public Health Reports*, *114*, 81-87.

Pritchard, J. C., Lindberg, A. C., Main, D. C. J., Whay, H. R. (2005). Assessment of the welfare of working horses, mules and donkeys, using health and behaviour parameters. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, *69*, 265-283.

Scott, G. (2011) Laying Hens. In: Webster, J. (Ed) *Management and Welfare of Farm Animals*, 5<sup>th</sup> edition. (p 322) Wiley-Blackwell: Oxford.

von Keyserlingk, M. A. G., Rushen, J., de Passillé, A. M., & Weary, D. M. (2009). The welfare of dairy cattle – key concepts and the role of science. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *92*, 4101-4111.

Waters, A. J., Nicol, C. J., & French, N. P. (2002). Factors influencing the development of stereotypic and redirected behaviours in young horses: findings of a four-year prospective epidemiological study. *Equine Veterinary Journal*, *34*, 572-579.

Webster, J. (2010). Food from the dairy: husbandry regained? In J. D'Silva & J. Webster (Eds.), *The meat crisis. Developing more sustainable production and consumption* (pp. 99-116). London: Earthscan.

Whiting, T. L., Salmon, R. H., & Wruck, G. C. (2005). Chronically starved horses: predicting survival, economic, and ethical considerations. *Canadian Veterinary Journal*, *46*, 320-324.

Wickens, C. L., & Heleski, C. R. (2010). Crib-biting behaviour in horses: a review. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 128, 1-9.

Young, R. J. (2003). *Environmental enrichment for captive animals* (pp. 85-106). Oxford: Blackwell.